UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,132	03/31/2004	Satoshi Aoyagi	107439-00112	3723
4372 ARENT FOX L	7590 09/09/200 LP	EXAMINER		
	TICUT AVENUE, N.	WILLS, MONIQUE M		
SUITE 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent\_Mail@arentfox.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/813,132	AOYAGI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Monique M. Wills	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 M</u> .      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the case Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on May 30. 2008 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grieve et al. U.S. Pub. 2002/0136939 in view of Laceky U.S. Pat. 7,275,501.

Grieve teaches a method of controlling a fuel cell system (abstract). The method includes a fuel cell for supply electrical power (par. 1). Various electrical loads can be powered through the power electronic system. These electrical loads can be powered directly form the electrical energy from the fuel cell stack or form stored electrical energy in one or more batteries (power storage unit), or a combination of the two power sources (par. 27). The stack is connected to a fuel source (driving device) for supplying fuel to the fuel cell (par. 23). An isolation device may be provided to affect the

variable resistance between the fuel cell and the batter. Such isolation devices (current limiters) may comprise pulse width modulation devices, transistors, converters, switches, resistors and any combination thereof. A power transistor may be employed to pulse width modulate the connection, which may limit the average current or voltage output of the fuel cell stack (par. 45). The method for controlling the fuel cell system includes controlling the amount of fuel supplied to the fuel cell stack to attain a desired voltage output, such that the desired voltage is at least partially based upon an input current and voltage for a battery (power storage unit) in electrical communication with the fuel cell stack.

Grieve does not expressly disclose the use of a capacitor as a backup power supply.

However, Laceky teaches that it is well known in the art to employ to power a feeder system with minimal required maintenance. See the Abstract.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to employ the capacitor of Laceky to the fuel cell system of Grieve, in order to power a feeder system with minimal require maintenance.

## **Conclusions**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is (571) 272-1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, may be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Monique M Wills/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Application/Control Number: 10/813,132 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

/Stephen J. Kalafut/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795